JGSB: Jurnal Gerakan Semangat Buddhayana
Vol. 3, No.2, Oktober 2025, Hal. 88-98
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60046/jgsb.v3i2.235
E-ISSN: 3031-1985
https://ejournal.stiab-jinarakkhita.ac.id/index.php/jgsb/index

From Awareness to Action: The Five Mindfulness Trainings as a Pillar of Sustainable Modern Buddhist Life Amid the Global Climate Crisis

Yusmiati Liau*[1] & Troi Dao Bi[2]

[1]Grapevine Improvement, Bragato Research Institute, Lincoln, New Zealand
[2] Institute of Advance Buddhist Studies, Plum Village, Thenac, France
*Corespondence author: cen.liau@bri.co.nz

(Submitted: 27 August 2025, Accepted: 01 September 2025, Published: 30 October 2025)

Keywords:
Buddhism, Mindfulness, Pañcasīla, Five Mindfulness Trainings, Climate Crisis.

Abstract

This study examines the contribution of Buddhist ethics, particularly the Pañcasīla and the Five Mindfulness Trainings (FMT), as a moral and practical framework for addressing the global climate crisis. The research applies a literature study with a qualitative descriptive approach, analyzing Buddhist texts, academic works, and prior studies on mindfulness and ecology. The findings highlight that Buddhist teachings stress the transformation of greed, hatred, and ignorance as the roots of ecological degradation. Five operational indicators are identified: ecological awareness through mindfulness, universal compassion, restraint of greed through simple living, intergenerational responsibility, and inner transformation toward sustainable behavior. The FMT reinforces these indicators with practical applications such as mindful consumption, nonviolent lifestyles, responsible communication, and ecological solidarity. The study concludes that Buddhism can be operationalized as a global ethic that guides lifestyle changes at both individual and community levels toward sustainability.

Introduction

Today's climate crisis has become human history's most urgent and serious global challenge. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reports that the Earth's average temperature continues to rise at an unprecedented rate (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2021). Climate change is no longer a future projection but a present reality: melting polar ice, rising sea levels, extreme weather events, heatwaves, tropical storms, and food crises caused by shifting agricultural cycles. These phenomena are reshaping global life and threatening the planet's sustainability as our shared home. Indonesia is among the most vulnerable as a tropical archipelagic nation with a long coastline. Rising seas threaten to submerge small islands, tidal floods occur more frequently, forest fires and haze persist, and unpredictable seasons disrupt agriculture. Global warming is not merely an environmental issue but also a social, economic, health, and even moral crisis. Therefore, it must be placed as a global priority requiring holistic technical, political, spiritual, and ethical responses. Since 2015, the world has agreed through the Paris Agreement to limit the temperature rise below 1.5°C (United Nations, 2015a). Yet implementation falls short. Many nations still rely on fossil fuels, global markets remain dominated by large industries, and consumerist

JGSB: Jurnal Gerakan Semangat Buddhayana
Vol. 3, No.2, Oktober 2025, Hal. 88-98
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60046/jgsb.v3i2.235
E-ISSN: 3031-1985
https://ejournal.stiab-jinarakkhita.ac.id/index.php/jgsb/index

culture is fueled by modern capitalism. Climate issues are entangled with economic and political interests, while global awareness of Earth's finite resources remains low. In this context, it becomes clear that technological and policy solutions alone are insufficient without inner transformation. Humanity requires a profound collective shift in consciousness (World Health Organization, 2022).

From a Buddhist perspective, the climate crisis reflects the manifestation of the three poisons: greed (*lobha*), hatred (*dosa*), and ignorance (*moha*). Greed drives the unlimited exploitation of natural resources. Hatred appears as indifference to the suffering of other beings, especially those most affected by climate change. Ignorance blinds humans to ecological interdependence and the direct impact of environmental destruction on their own lives (Loy, 2003). In Buddhist teaching, these poisons are the root of suffering (*dukkha*). Thus, the climate crisis is inseparable from the human mind, and its solution lies in structural reforms and transforming consciousness. From the beginning, the Buddha emphasized harmony with nature. In the Aggañña Sutta (*Dīgha Nikāya* 27), the Buddha described how the Earth's decline began when humans were overcome by greed (Walshe, 1995). The Vanaropa Sutta (*Aṅguttara Nikāya* 5.36) affirms tree planting as a noble act benefiting countless beings (Bodhi, 2012). The Metta Sutta (*Sutta Nipāta* 1.8) teaches universal love extending beyond humans to animals, plants, and ecosystems (Bodhi, 2017). The Sigalovada Sutta (*Dīgha Nikāya* 31) highlights responsible social relations, which, when extended, include responsibility toward the environment (Walshe, 1995). These suttas provide ethical-spiritual foundations for ecological awareness.

Buddhist teachings on mindfulness (*sati*) are also highly relevant. The Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta (*Majjhima Nikāya* 10) presents four foundations of mindfulness: body, feelings, mind, and phenomena (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). Awareness of the body reminds us of dependence on food, water, and air from nature. Awareness of feelings shows how well-being is linked to social and environmental conditions. Awareness of the mind reveals how greed and hatred cause suffering. Awareness of phenomena exposes causality: environmental degradation arises from human actions. The Ānāpānasati Sutta (*Majjhima Nikāya* 118) teaches breathing as a key object of mindfulness, reminding us that breath is a gift of forests and ecosystems (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). The Bhaddekaratta Suttas (*Majjhima Nikāya* 131–134) urge living in the present and acting now to face the climate crisis. The Sallatha Sutta (*Saṃyutta Nikāya* 36.6) illustrates mindfulness to overcome mental suffering, including eco-anxiety (Bodhi, 2000). Thus, mindfulness extends beyond individual meditation to a global ecological awareness.

Buddhist ethics are grounded in the five precepts (*pañca-sīla*): abstaining from killing, stealing, sexual misconduct, false speech, and intoxication. These are universal moral guidelines for Buddhists worldwide. Yet reinterpretation is necessary in modern contexts of consumerism, environmental degradation, and social inequality. Thích Nhất Hạnh offered this reinterpretation through the Five Mindfulness Trainings (FMT) at Plum Village. The FMT expands the precepts to address contemporary challenges while rooted in classical Dharma (Hanh, 2009).

The first training, Reverence for Life, extends to protecting ecosystems and biodiversity. The second, True Generosity, urges simple living and equitable sharing, countering global consumerism (Kaza, 2008). The third, Responsible Relationships, emphasizes social responsibility and justice in the face of climate inequities (Queen & King (eds.), 1996). The fourth, Loving Speech and Deep Listening, calls for truthful and compassionate

JGSB: Jurnal Gerakan Semangat Buddhayana
Vol. 3, No.2, Oktober 2025, Hal. 88-98
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60046/jgsb.v3i2.235
E-ISSN: 3031-1985
https://ejournal.stiab-jinarakkhita.ac.id/index.php/jgsb/index

communication amid climate misinformation (Loy, 2003). The fifth, Mindful Consumption, addresses not only intoxicants but also harmful food, goods, media, and energy (Hanh, 2008). Together, these practices shape sustainable, compassionate lifestyles that reduce carbon footprints and strengthen solidarity. When consistently practiced, the FMT can transform individual and collective Buddhist lifestyles globally. They align with global agendas such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals, particularly Goal 12 on sustainable consumption, Goal 13 on climate action, and Goal 15 on life on land (United Nations, 2015b). Thus, practicing Buddhists contribute not only to religious commitments but also to global sustainability efforts.

Previous studies affirm this role. Loy (2003) highlights Buddhism as a social theory for global crises. Kaza (2008) stresses mindfulness as the basis for ecological thinking. Queen & King (eds.) (1996) document engaged Buddhist practices in environmental and social issues. Lim (2019) underscores links between Buddhist cosmology and ecology. Thích Nhất Hanh (2008) asserts that the root of the ecological crisis is collective human consciousness, and without its transformation, the Earth cannot survive. Therefore, research on the Five Mindfulness Trainings as a Dharmic response to global warming is urgent. The climate crisis is a crisis of consciousness requiring inner transformation. Sustainable Dharma lifestyles can emerge by integrating the FMT into modern Buddhist global life. It demonstrates Buddhism's unique contribution: teaching that saving the Earth begins with transforming daily life.

a. Buddhism and the Phenomenon of Global Warming

From a Buddhist perspective, the climate crisis is understood as a direct consequence of the three unwholesome roots (*akusala-mūla*): greed (*lobha*), hatred (*dosa*), and ignorance (*moha*). In the Aggañña Sutta (DN 27), the Buddha illustrates how environmental degradation arises when humans are trapped in collective greed, leading to ecological destruction and moral decline (Walshe, 1995). The Vanaropa Sutta (AN 5.36) highlights the virtue of planting and protecting trees, an environmental teaching relevant to today's deforestation crisis (Bodhi, 2012). The Metta Sutta (Snp 1.8) emphasizes universal loving-kindness toward all beings, including animals, plants, and ecosystems (Bodhi, 2017). The Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta (MN 10) cultivates mindfulness of the body, mind, and phenomena, which in modern contexts can be interpreted as ecological awareness (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). Modern scholarship supports these readings. Queen & King (eds.) (1996) show how Dharma can respond to socio-ecological issues through Engaged Buddhism. Loy (2003) argues that the climate crisis reflects a collective consciousness crisis. Kaza (2008) links mindfulness to ecological living through the concept of mindfully green. Lim (2019) underscores the connection between *paṭiccasamuppāda* (dependent origination) and relational ecology. Both classical texts and contemporary studies show that Buddhism contributes to understanding the roots of ecological crisis while offering frameworks of inner transformation for sustainable solutions.

b. The Buddhist Five Precepts in the Context of Global Warming

The *pañca-sīla* (Five Precepts) form the moral foundation of Buddhism: refraining from killing, stealing, sexual misconduct, false speech, and intoxication. In the context of global warming, these precepts carry strong ecological dimensions. The first precept guides Buddhists to protect all life forms, including ecosystems and biodiversity (Bodhi, 2012). The second rejects consumerism and resource exploitation, the root causes of the climate crisis (Harvey, 2000). The third highlights responsibility in relationships, including

JGSB: Jurnal Gerakan Semangat Buddhayana
Vol. 3, No.2, Oktober 2025, Hal. 88-98
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60046/jgsb.v3i2.235
E-ISSN: 3031-1985
https://ejournal.stiab-jinarakkhita.ac.id/index.php/jgsb/index

intergenerational responsibility for preserving a habitable Earth. The fourth, on right speech, implies a duty to counter climate misinformation and promote global solidarity (Walshe, 1995). The fifth, concerning intoxication, can be expanded to caution against excessive consumption that harms health, mind, and environment (Harvey, 2000). Sutta sources reinforce this interpretation. The Metta Sutta (Snp 1.8) teaches universal compassion (Bodhi, 2017). The Sigalovada Sutta (DN 31) underscores social obligations, which can be expanded to ecological responsibility (Walshe, 1995). The Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta (MN 10) nurtures mindfulness to regulate unconscious consumption (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). Thus, the Buddhist Five Precepts may be read as an ecological moral framework guiding Buddhist responses to climate change.

c. Five Mindfulness Trainings as Spiritual and Global Ethics in Responding to Global Warming

Thích Nhất Hạnh's Five Mindfulness Trainings (FMT) reinterpret the Buddhist precepts to address contemporary challenges. The five trainings are: reverence for life, true generosity, responsible relationships, loving speech and deep listening, and mindful consumption (Hanh, 2009). These emphasize that precepts are moral codes and mindful practices embodied in daily life. Regarding global warming, the FMT can be seen as a global ethic. Reverence for life entails protecting ecosystems. True generosity critiques consumerism and promotes simplicity. Responsible relationships emphasize awareness of social ties and climate justice. Loving speech resists misinformation and builds honest communication. Mindful consumption fosters eco-friendly lifestyles (Hanh, 2008). Modern research aligns the FMT with universal values. Kaza (2008) emphasizes mindfulness as a basis for ecological living. Loy (2003) links ecological crisis to collective consciousness. Tucker & Williams (1997) affirm the consistency of Buddhist teachings with relational ecology. Thus, the FMT bridges classical Dharma with modern global ethics, offering spiritual and practical pathways for addressing climate change.

d. The Contribution of the Five Mindfulness Trainings to Mitigating Climate Change

The practice of the FMT contributes to mitigation, adaptation, and psychological resilience in facing the climate crisis. At the mitigation level, mindful consumption reduces carbon footprints through conscious dietary and lifestyle choices. Reverence for life promotes biodiversity protection and environmental restoration. True generosity reduces the drive for overconsumption that damages the Earth (Hanh, 2009). The FMT fosters community solidarity at the adaptation level through resource sharing, sustainable transportation, and collective ecological action. Engaged Buddhism demonstrates how Buddhist communities organize Dharma-based ecological responses relevant to local needs (Queen & King (eds.), 1996). At the resilience level, the FMT cultivates psychological strength against eco-anxiety. The Sallatha Sutta (SN 36.6) shows how mindfulness prevents the "second arrow" of mental suffering (Bodhi, 2000). The Bhaddekaratta Suttas (MN 131–134) stress the urgency of acting in the present moment (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). Together, the FMT offers a comprehensive framework: shaping consumption behavior, strengthening communities, and nurturing resilience in the face of global warming.

e. The Art of Mindful Living in Sutta and Contemporary Research

The art of mindful living is rooted in the classical teaching of sati. The Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta (MN 10) presents the four foundations of mindfulness as a way of being fully present (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). The Ānāpānasati Sutta (MN 118) emphasizes breath as an object of mindfulness for cultivating inner balance (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). The Bhaddekaratta

JGSB: Jurnal Gerakan Semangat Buddhayana
Vol. 3, No.2, Oktober 2025, Hal. 88-98
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60046/jgsb.v3i2.235
E-ISSN: 3031-1985
https://ejournal.stiab-jinarakkhita.ac.id/index.php/jgsb/index

Suttas (MN 131–134) stress the importance of living in the present (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). In modern contexts, Thích Nhất Hạnh (2008) affirms that mindfulness is an art of daily living, not limited to formal meditation. Contemporary research supports this. Kabat-Zinn (2003), through Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR), demonstrates its benefits for mental health and resilience. Kaza (2008) links mindfulness to daily ecological choices in diet, consumption, and relationships. For global Buddhists, mindful living is a concrete way to embody the Dharma in modern times. It reduces inner suffering, strengthens harmonious relationships, and fosters simple, sustainable lifestyles. Thus, mindful living is a practical foundation for addressing contemporary challenges and the global ecological crisis.

f. Literature Review (*Synthesized Narrative*)

From a Buddhist perspective, the climate crisis is understood as a direct consequence of the three unwholesome roots (*akusala-mūla*): greed (*lobha*), hatred (*dosa*), and ignorance (*moha*). The Aggañña Sutta (DN 27) illustrates how environmental decline emerges when humans are consumed by collective greed, leading to ecological degradation and moral collapse (Walshe, 1995). This early teaching resonates with today's ecological challenges, where overconsumption and resource exploitation drive climate instability. The Vanaropa Sutta (AN 5.36) affirms the virtue of planting and protecting trees, offering ecological wisdom particularly relevant amid modern deforestation (Bodhi, 2012). Likewise, the Metta Sutta (Snp 1.8) extends universal loving-kindness to all beings, including animals, plants, and ecosystems (Bodhi, 2017). The Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta (MN 10) cultivates mindfulness of the body, feelings, mind, and phenomena, which in contemporary contexts can be read as ecological awareness and recognition of interdependence (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995).

Modern scholarship complements these classical insights. Through Engaged Buddhism, Queen & King (eds.) (1996) demonstrate how Dharma can address social and ecological issues. Loy (2003) argues that the climate crisis is essentially a crisis of consciousness, requiring transformation at the deepest level of awareness. Kaza (2008) connects mindfulness with ecological living through her concept of mindfully green. Lim (2019) highlights the links between *paṭiccasamuppāda* (dependent origination) and relational ecology, framing Buddhism as a tradition inherently aligned with environmental interconnection. These perspectives illustrate how classical texts and contemporary scholarship affirm that Buddhism provides both diagnosis and pathways for sustainable transformation.

The Buddhist Five Precepts (*pañca-sīla*) offer another layer of moral foundation. Traditionally formulated as abstaining from killing, stealing, sexual misconduct, false speech, and intoxication, these precepts take on new relevance in the ecological context. The first precept extends to protecting ecosystems and biodiversity (Bodhi, 2012). The second rejects consumerism and exploitative use of resources, pointing directly to drivers of climate change (Harvey, 2000). The third emphasizes responsibility in human relationships, which includes intergenerational accountability for sustaining life on Earth. The fourth, on truthful speech, implies an obligation to resist climate misinformation and promote honest, compassionate dialogue (Walshe, 1995). The fifth, concerning intoxication, can include mindfulness about all forms of excessive consumption harmful to health, mind, and environment (Harvey, 2000). Classical sources reinforce these interpretations: the Metta Sutta advocates boundless compassion (Bodhi, 2017), the Sigalovada Sutta (DN 31) highlights social duties that can extend to ecological obligations (Walshe, 1995), and the Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta nurtures

JGSB: Jurnal Gerakan Semangat Buddhayana
Vol. 3, No.2, Oktober 2025, Hal. 88-98
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60046/jgsb.v3i2.235
E-ISSN: 3031-1985
https://ejournal.stiab-jinarakkhita.ac.id/index.php/jgsb/index

mindfulness that tempers unconscious consumption (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). Thus, the Five Precepts may be reframed as an ecological moral framework guiding Buddhist responses to climate change.

Thích Nhất Hạnh's Five Mindfulness Trainings (FMT) further reinterpret these precepts for the modern age, expanding them into living practices that address ecological and social crises. The trainings include reverence for life, true generosity, responsible relationships, loving speech and deep listening, and mindful consumption (Hanh, 2009). These emphasize that precepts are not merely prohibitions but mindful commitments practiced daily. In ecological terms, reverence for life implies protecting biodiversity; true generosity critiques consumerism and affirms simplicity; responsible relationships highlight climate justice; loving speech fosters solidarity against misinformation; and mindful consumption encourages sustainable living patterns (Hanh, 2008).

Contemporary research affirms the universal relevance of these principles. Kaza (2008) underscores mindfulness as the foundation for ecological choices. Loy (2003) identifies the environmental crisis as inseparable from a collective consciousness crisis. (Tucker & Williams, 1997) show the consistency of Buddhist thought with relational ecology. Together, these works demonstrate that the FMT bridges classical Buddhist ethics with global ethical discourse, serving as both a spiritual and practical response to climate change.

The practical application of the FMT contributes across three dimensions: mitigation, adaptation, and resilience. Mitigation arises through mindful consumption, reducing carbon footprints via sustainable diets and lifestyle choices, and reverence for life, promoting biodiversity conservation and ecological restoration (Hanh, 2009). Adaptation is fostered through solidarity and collective action, as demonstrated in Engaged Buddhism, where communities organize Dharma-based ecological initiatives tailored to local contexts (Queen & King (eds.), 1996). Resilience is nurtured through mindfulness practices that build psychological strength against eco-anxiety. The Sallatha Sutta (SN 36.6) illustrates how mindfulness prevents the "second arrow" of unnecessary suffering (Bodhi, 2000), while the Bhaddekaratta Suttas (MN 131–134) emphasize acting decisively in the present (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). Thus, the FMT functions as a comprehensive ethical-spiritual framework: shaping consumption patterns, strengthening communities, and cultivating resilience in facing climate disruption.

Finally, the art of mindful living emerges as both a classical and modern foundation for ecological practice. The Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta frames mindfulness as full presence in body, feelings, mind, and phenomena (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). The Ānāpānasati Sutta emphasizes breath as an anchor of awareness, connecting human survival with ecological systems (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). The Bhaddekaratta Suttas highlight the importance of present-centered action. In contemporary application, Thích Nhất Hanh, 2008) reframes mindfulness as an art of daily living rather than merely formal meditation. Kabat-Zinn (2003), through Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR), provides empirical evidence of mindfulness's role in enhancing resilience and well-being. Kaza (2008) links mindful awareness to everyday ecological choices, including diet, consumption, and relationships. For global Buddhists, mindful living thus offers a concrete way to embody Dharma, reduce suffering, and align with sustainable lifestyles.

In sum, the literature suggests that through classical suttas, the Five Precepts, and the Five Mindfulness Trainings, Buddhism provides a theoretical framework and practical strategies to confront the climate crisis. It highlights that addressing global warming requires policy,

JGSB: Jurnal Gerakan Semangat Buddhayana
Vol. 3, No.2, Oktober 2025, Hal. 88-98
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60046/jgsb.v3i2.235
E-ISSN: 3031-1985
https://ejournal.stiab-jinarakkhita.ac.id/index.php/jgsb/index

technology, and inner transformation, with Buddhist ethics offering a profound foundation for ecological awareness and sustainable living.

Method

This study employs a qualitative descriptive approach to analyze the role of the Five Mindfulness Trainings (FMT) and the Buddhist *Pañcasīla* in responding to the global climate crisis. A qualitative approach is appropriate for exploring texts, concepts, and philosophical perspectives that require in-depth interpretation and contextual understanding (Creswell, 2014). The primary method applied is a literature study, beginning with formulating research questions concerning the relationship between Buddhism, mindfulness, and ecological ethics. Relevant publications were selected based on inclusion criteria, namely works that discuss Buddhism in socio-ecological contexts, mindfulness practices, and canonical texts. Key arguments and findings from each source were extracted and synthesized to identify recurring themes, gaps in existing studies, and the contribution of Buddhist thought to environmental discourse. Bibliographic analysis was also employed to map research trends, influential scholars, journals, and academic networks working on the intersection of Buddhism, mindfulness, and ecology. This mapping provided a broader understanding of Buddhist ethics and mindfulness in global sustainability discourse. Data collected were analyzed descriptively through three stages: data reduction, presentation, and conclusion drawing (Creswell, 2014). Validity was strengthened by triangulation across canonical suttas, academic works, and contemporary studies. Through this methodology, the study develops a comprehensive understanding of the FMT as a foundation of modern Buddhist global ethics. It demonstrates the relevance of Buddhist practice as both a spiritual and practical response to climate change, offering insights into sustainable living rooted in Buddhist philosophy.

Result and Discussion

Buddhist ethics provide a rich moral and spiritual foundation for addressing the global climate crisis. The environmental challenges faced by the world today cannot be separated from the roots of suffering long identified by the Buddha: greed (*lobha*), hatred (*dosa*), and ignorance (*moha*). When expressed collectively, these three mental poisons become the primary causes of ecological destruction: greed generates exploitation of resources, hatred produces indifference toward the suffering of other species, and ignorance blinds humanity to the long-term consequences of its actions. The Buddha's classical teachings in the major suttas affirm the interconnection between inner morality and the world's condition, which marks Buddhism's significant contribution to the discourse on global ecological ethics.

The narrative of the Aggañña Sutta (DN 27) describes how environmental degradation began when living beings lost satisfaction in simple living and started to take more than they needed. The Buddha explained that when humans began to "taste the earth" with greed, their bodies lost radiance and social harmony declined (Walshe, 1995). This narrative can be read as an ecological allegory: environmental decline directly results from collective greed. This message resonates with modern industrialization, deforestation, and excessive fossil fuel consumption, consistent with the IPCC (2021) report stating that global warming is primarily driven by human activities fueled by greed for energy and resources. Thus, the Aggañña Sutta provides an ethical framework showing that climate change is not merely a technical issue but also a moral one rooted in the human mind.

JGSB: Jurnal Gerakan Semangat Buddhayana
Vol. 3, No.2, Oktober 2025, Hal. 88-98
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60046/jgsb.v3i2.235
E-ISSN: 3031-1985
https://ejournal.stiab-jinarakkhita.ac.id/index.php/jgsb/index

In the Metta Sutta (Snp 1.8), the Buddha emphasizes universal compassion: just as a mother protects her only child, so should humans cultivate loving-kindness toward all beings (Bodhi, 2017). This principle extends beyond humanity to include animals, plants, and ecosystems. In the context of the climate crisis, universal compassion means protecting biodiversity, preventing species extinction, and recognizing the intrinsic value of all life. The loss of species due to climate change and human exploitation illustrates a failure to apply compassion as a moral foundation of ecological responsibility. From a Buddhist perspective, building an environmental civilization must begin with nurturing this boundless compassion.

The dimension of mindfulness (*sati*) deepens understanding of human interconnectedness with the environment. The Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta (MN 10) outlines the four foundations of mindfulness: body, feelings, mind, and phenomena (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). Mindfulness of the body reminds us that human life depends on food, water, and air, all shaped by ecosystems. Mindfulness of feelings reveals that ecological suffering manifests in human anxiety about climate change. Mindfulness of mind underscores the need to restrain greed and hatred as the roots of exploitation. Mindfulness of phenomena exposes causality: how consumerist human behavior causes climate disruption. Thus, mindfulness is not limited to individual meditation but becomes a framework for ecological transformation.

The Ānāpānasati Sutta (MN 118) demonstrates that breath is a primary gateway to awareness. Human breath depends on air quality linked to forests and the atmosphere. The Buddha taught, "*Mindful, one breathes in; mindful, one breathes out...*" (Ñāṇamoli & Bodhi, 1995). In the climate context, mindful breathing reminds us that human survival is bound to ecological sustainability. Air pollution, forest fires, and carbon emissions are technical problems and direct threats to spiritual practice. Thus, mindful breathing is not merely a personal practice but an ecological act, cultivating awareness of humanity's interdependence with the Earth.

Buddhist ethics are concisely expressed in Dhammapada verse 183: "Do no evil, cultivate the good, purify the mind this is the teaching of the Buddhas" (Bodhi, 2012). It can be applied to ecological ethics: cease actions that harm nature, cultivate actions that preserve it, and develop clear environmental awareness. Verses 1–2 of the Dhammapada also stress that all actions originate in the mind: *"All phenomena are preceded by the mind, led by the mind, formed by the mind. If one acts with a corrupted mind, suffering follows..."* (Bodhi, 2012). In the context of the climate crisis, this implies that genuine solutions must begin with mental transformation. If collective greed is the root of the problem, mindfulness and simplicity are the remedies. The concept of extended social responsibility appears in the Sigalovada Sutta (DN 31), which stresses the obligations of parents to children, teachers to students, and leaders to citizens (Walshe, 1995). Interpreted broadly, this extends to intergenerational responsibility: the current generation must protect the Earth as a moral legacy for future generations. It highlights climate change as an ethical issue of intergenerational justice, where ecological destruction equates to injustice against descendants.

Thích Nhất Hạnh developed the Five Mindfulness Trainings (FMT) as a modern interpretation of the Pañcasīla. The first training emphasizes reverence for life, meaning the protection of entire ecosystems. The second promotes true generosity, rejecting consumerism and supporting resource sharing. The third emphasizes responsibility, including ecological responsibility. The fourth stresses loving speech, critical in an era of climate misinformation. The fifth emphasizes mindful consumption, directly linked to

JGSB: Jurnal Gerakan Semangat Buddhayana
Vol. 3, No.2, Oktober 2025, Hal. 88-98
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60046/jgsb.v3i2.235
E-ISSN: 3031-1985
https://ejournal.stiab-jinarakkhita.ac.id/index.php/jgsb/index

sustainable living (Hanh, 2009). The FMT can be seen as a bridge between classical Buddhist teachings and modern global ethics. Designed not only for Buddhists but also as universal ethics, its principles reverence for life, generosity, responsibility, loving speech, and mindful consumption apply in public policy, education, and daily life.

Academic literature reinforces this integration. Queen & King (eds.) (1996) introduced Engaged Buddhism, affirming that Dharma must address social and ecological issues. In Thailand, *"eco-monks"* ordain trees to protect forests. Lim (2019) connects paṭiccasamuppāda with ecology, showing how harm to one part of the ecosystem affects the whole. Tucker & Williams (1997) highlight anatta as rejecting anthropocentrism, reminding humans of their role as part of the web of life. Loy (2003) identifies the climate crisis as a spiritual crisis, rooted in greed-driven economic paradigms, echoing the Aggañña Sutta. Kaza (2008) argues that mindfulness fosters ecological living through the practice of mindfully green.

Psychological research also affirms these connections. Kabat-Zinn (2003) demonstrates that mindfulness reduces stress and builds resilience. In the climate context, this is significant as eco-anxiety affects many populations. The World Health Organization (2022) confirms that climate change impacts mental health, and mindfulness can be an adaptive strategy. It shows that Buddhism contributes normative ethics and practical tools for strengthening resilience in individuals and communities facing climate disruption. A synthesis of sutta studies and modern research highlights concrete operational indicators: first, ecological awareness arising from mindfulness and conscious breathing; second, universal compassion as the basis for biodiversity protection; third, restraint of greed through simplicity and wise consumption; fourth, intergenerational responsibility in protecting the Earth; and fifth, inner transformation as the foundation of long-term solutions. These indicators position Buddhism as a personal spiritual path and a pillar of global ethics relevant for reversing the climate crisis.

Overall, the discussion affirms that technological and policy solutions alone are insufficient to address the global climate crisis; transformation of consciousness is essential. Through the Pañcasīla and FMT, Buddhism offers an ethical framework emphasizing simplicity, compassion, and mindfulness. Classical suttas warn of the dangers of greed and stress the importance of universal compassion. At the same time, modern research demonstrates the practical benefits of mindfulness in mental health, lifestyle change, and ecological advocacy. This integration shows that Buddhism can significantly contribute to building a sustainable global ethic shifting humanity from greed to simplicity, indifference to compassion, and crisis to hope.

Conclusion

This study concludes that Buddhist teachings, particularly the Pañcasīla and the Five Mindfulness Trainings (FMT), can be operationalized as ethical guidelines for responding to the global climate crisis. Based on sutta analysis, several core values emerge as highly relevant: ecological awareness cultivated through mindfulness practice, the development of universal compassion toward all beings, the restraint of greed through simple living, intergenerational responsibility for preserving the Earth as a legacy, and inner transformation from consumerist mindsets toward sustainable orientations. When applied, these values can be translated into practical actions such as mindful consumption, emission reduction, biodiversity conservation, truthful public communication on climate issues, and

building stronger social solidarity with ecological concern. In this way, Buddhism can serve as a global ethical framework that bridges inner transformation with concrete ecological action in modern societies. Nevertheless, this research has several limitations. The analysis remains conceptual and literature-based, without empirical data from contemporary Buddhist communities. Interpretations of the suttas may also vary across traditions, meaning the findings do not fully represent the diversity of global Buddhist perspectives. In addition, this study's limited scope and timeframe prevented an evaluation of how the FMT has been implemented in public policy or environmental programs. Future research should therefore adopt field-based approaches to investigate ecological mindfulness practices within Buddhist communities across both Asian and Western contexts. There is also a need to integrate Buddhist teachings into environmental education curricula, models of sustainable living, and climate policy advocacy. By doing so, Buddhist values can move beyond being solely spiritual norms and be operationalized as practical instruments contributing to reversing global warming through behavioral transformation at the individual, communal, and societal levels.

References

Bodhi, B. (2000). *The Connected Discourses of The Buddha: A Translation of The Saṃyutta Nikāya* (Vol. 2). Wisdom Publications.

Bodhi, B. (2012). *The Numerical Discourses of The Buddha: A Translation of The Aṅguttara Nikāya*. Wisdom Publications.

Bodhi, B. (2017). *The Suttanipāta: An Ancient Collection of the Buddha's Discourses Together with Its Commentaries*. Wisdom Publications.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Hanh, T. N. (2008). *The World We Have: A Buddhist Approach to Peace and Ecology*. Parallax Press.

Hanh, T. N. (2009). *Five Mindfulness Trainings*. Parallax Press.

Harvey, P. (2000). *An Introductions to Buddhist Ethics: Foundations, Values and Issues*. Cambridge University Press.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2021). *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Working Group I Contribution to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896

Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003). Mindfulness-based interventions in context: Past, present, and future. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, *10*(2), 144–156. https://doi.org/10.1093/clipsy.bpg016

Kaza, S. (2008). *Mindfully Green: A Personal and Spiritual Guide to Whole Earth Thinking*. Shambhala.

Lim, H. L. (2019). Environmental Revolution in Contemporary Buddhism: The Interbeing of Individual and Collective Consciousness in Ecology. *Religions*, *10*(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/rel10020120

Loy, D. R. (2003). *The Great Awakening : A Buddhist Social Theory*. Wisdom Publications.

Ñāṇamoli, B., & Bodhi, B. (1995). *The Middle Length Discourses of The Buddha: A Translation of The Majjhima Nikāya* (3rd ed.). Wisdom Publications.

Queen, C. S., & King (eds.), S. B. (1996). *Engaged Buddhism: Buddhist Liberation Movements in Asia*. State University of New York Press.

Tucker, M. E., & Williams, D. R. (1997). *Buddhism and Ecology: The Interconnection of Dharma and Deeds* [Book]. Harvard University Center for the Study of World Religions.

United Nations. (2015a). *Paris Agreement*. Retrieved from https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/english_paris_agreement.pdf

United Nations. (2015b). *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. Retrieved from https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda

Walshe, M. (1995). *The Long Discourses of The Buddha : A Translation of The Dīgha Nikāya*. Wisdom Publications.

World Health Organization. (2022). *Mental health and Climate Change: Policy Brief*. Retrieved from https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/354104/9789240045125-eng.pdf?sequence=1